**Diploma thesis evaluation form - reviewer**

Author of the thesis:

Title of the thesis:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Serial number** | **Evaluation criteria** | **Grade** |
| **1** | Scientific and technical quality of the thesis | A B C D E F |
| **2** | Structure of the thesis, balance and extend of separate parts  | A B C D E F |
| **3** | Quality and insight of work with literature (number and relevance of sources, student’s own formulations). | A B C D E F |
| **4** | Correctness of work with references (non-cited data, uniform citation style) | A B C D E F |
| **5** | Graphic layout of text and pictures, correctness and completeness of legends and descriptions in tables, graphs and pictures | A B C D E F |
| **6** | Linguistic and stylistic quality | A B C D E F |
| ***7*** | Typos, spelling | A B C D E F |
| 8 | Formulation of goals and hypotheses  | A B C D E F |
| 9 | Quality of processing and statistical evaluation of experimental data | A B C D E F |
| 10 | Completeness of the methods description | A B C D E F |
| 11 | Quality of discussion  | A B C D E F |
| 12 | Methodological difficulties for student (many demanding methods - A, little independent and demanding experimental work - E) | A B C D E F |
| Total overall grade suggested | A B C D E F |

Conclusion: I recommend presented theses for defense: YES NO

The overall final evaluation will also consider the quality of a presentation (form, content, adherence to the time limit, responses to questions in discussion). The final grade is assigned by a commission.

Please attach short commentary, strong and weak points highlighted and ask questions in a separate sheet (100-150 words).

Reviewer: .........................................................

 Date and signature