

Study programme: **Experimental Animal Biology and Immunology**

Review of the opponent of the thesis

Author: Click or tap here to enter text.

Thesis title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Name of opponent: Click or tap here and enter text.

	Evaluation criterion	Max. points	Points
1	The conciseness and quality of the summary of knowledge in the research area (amount and relevance of sources used, understanding of the source, accurate and consistent expression)	8	-
2	Formulation of the basic problems and objectives of the work. Formulation of hypotheses and the correct experimental procedure to test them	5	-
3	Appropriate presentation of obtained data and their correct interpretation, including the use of adequate statistical and graphical tools	7	-
4	A clear and concise discussion of the results obtained with respect to the original objectives and the inclusion of the results in the broader context of the research area	7	-
5	Completeness of the description of the methodologies used to allow reproducibility of the experiments	4	-
6	Formal quality of the thesis (language level, scope, citation consistency, typography, spelling, graphic design)	5	-
7	Methodological difficulty of the work (a lot of difficult methods - 4, little independent and difficult work - 0)	4	-
Total points			Click or tap here to enter the text.

Comments and observations (a separate sheet may be attached):

Click or tap here to enter the text. Preferably, copy and paste text prepared elsewhere

Conclusion:

I do not recommend the thesis for defence.

Range of points for classification:

A excellent: 40-36 B very good: 35-31 C good: 30-26 D satisfactorily: 25-21 20-16 E satisfactory: 15 or less F unsatisfactory:

Proposed grade:

Date and signature of the opponent: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

The overall evaluation of the thesis will take into account the opinions of the thesis supervisor and the opponent, as well as the level of presentation (form, content, presentation, time keeping).

The final grade will be assigned by the committee after the defence.

Range of points for classification:

A excellent:	B very good:	C good:	D satisfactorily:	E satisfactory:	F unsatisfactory:
40-36	35-31	30-26	25-21	20-16	15 or less