Flora of the city of Brno, Czech Republic

Zdeňka Lososová^{1,*}, Jiří Danihelka^{1,2}, Pavel Dřevojan¹, Ondřej Hájek¹, Veronika Kalusová¹, Martin Večeřa¹, Kryštof Chytrý¹, Milan Chytrý¹, Natálie Čeplová^{1,3}, Petr Filippov⁴, Martin Jiroušek^{1,5}, Daniel Kadaš¹, Veronika Kalníková⁶, Ilona Knollová¹, Martina Macků⁷, Josef Niederle⁸, Pavel Novák¹, Jaroslav Rohel¹, Olga Rotreklová¹, Radomír Řepka⁹, Marcela Řezníčková¹, Eva Šmerdová¹, Kateřina Šumberová¹⁰, Pavel Veselý¹, Marie Vymazalová¹¹, Tamás Wirth¹² & Lubomír Tichý¹

¹Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, CZ-61137 Brno, Czech Republic; ²Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences, CZ-25243 Průhonice, Czech Republic; ³Department of Biology, Faculty of Education, Masaryk University, Poříčí 7, CZ-60300 Brno, Czech Republic; ⁴Na Bílé 1159, CZ-56501 Choceň, Czech Republic; ⁵Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of AgriSciences, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, CZ-61300 Brno, Czech Republic; ⁶Beskydy Protected Landscape Area Administration, Nádražní 36, CZ-75661 Rožnov pod Radhoštěm, Czech Republic; ⁷Obůrka 38, CZ-67801 Blansko, Czech Republic; ⁸Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, CZ-61137, Brno, Czech Republic; ⁹Department of Forest Botany, Dendrology and Geobiocenology, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Technology, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, CZ-61300 Brno, Czech Republic; ¹⁰Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Department of Vegetation Ecology, Lidická 25/27, CZ-60200 Brno, Czech Republic; ¹¹Department of Landscape Ecology, The Silva Tarouca Research Institute for Landscape and Ornamental Gardening, Lidická 25/27, CZ-60200 Brno, Czech Republic; ¹²Botanic Garden, University of Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6, H-7624 Pécs, Hungary *corresponding author: lososova@sci.muni.cz

Abstract: Urban areas exert a significant influence on plant species assemblages. The mosaic of different urban land uses is reflected in the distribution patterns of different plant groups. Here we present the results of the first systematic and detailed floristic survey of the city of Brno, Czech Republic. We studied the flora of Brno from 2011 to 2021, and recorded all spontaneously occurring species in grid cells of 1.3×1.5 km. Our dataset includes 1,492 taxa found in the city, classified by their origin, residence time, invasion status, index of ecological specialization, and threat status in the Czech flora. Of these, 902 are native, 205 archaeophytes and 339 neophytes. The remaining 46 species with unknown status are probably remnants of cultivation or newly introduced species. Of the total list of species, 255 species are classified as threatened or near threatened in the Czech Republic. We analysed the effect of seven land-use categories on the proportions of these plant groups and found significant differences in the distribution of individual plant groups within the city. The proportions of plant groups except for threatened species reflected the proportions of individual land-use categories in the grid cells, although the strength and direction of these responses differed among plant groups. Native plant species richness was high in grid cells where forests predominate and the level of urbanization is low. In contrast, the proportion of archaeophytes and neophytes was much lower in the grid cells with a high proportion of forests. While archaeophytes predominated in the lowlands with agricultural land use, neophytes were more common in the central built-up areas of the city. To document the current distribution of all taxa found we supplement this study with a series of maps.

Received: 15 Nov 2023; Revised: 9 Feb 2024; Accepted: 12 Feb 2024; Published: 26 Feb 2024

Keywords: alien species, biotic invasion, Czech Republic, land use, plant diversity, threatened species, urban ecology, urban flora, urbanization

Introduction

Urbanization is one of the most striking drivers of current biodiversity loss (Díaz et al. 2019). Urbanization leads to the loss of natural habitats and local species extinctions, but cities are also areas where the emergence of human-made habitats, accompanied by high species introduction rates, results in plant communities with novel combinations of species (Kowarik 2011, de Barros Ruas et al. 2022). However, our understanding of the patterns and mechanisms underlying the composition and distribution of these novel communities is far from complete.

Plant species in the urban environments are influenced by numerous factors, such as land-use types and their spatial structure, climatic, edaphic, and socioeconomic conditions, disturbances and other processes (Goddard et al. 2010, Shochat et al. 2010, Ramalho & Hobbs 2012). At the same time, humans plant selected species in cities and prevent them from being replaced by competitors or extirpated by natural enemies. Cities are also transportation hubs that facilitate the dispersal of species from one city to another, spreading urban-tolerant species across the globe (Aronson et al. 2014). Understanding the patterns and processes of urban biota is therefore fundamental to biodiversity conservation and ecosystem functioning. Although interest in the impact of urbanization on plant diversity is growing rapidly, especially in Europe, critical research gaps remain.

Floristic survey of urban areas has a long tradition in Central Europe. The history of this research is summarized in several articles (e.g. Sukopp et al. 1990, Wittig 1991, Pyšek 1995, 1998). In general, urban floras are studied with different methods and intensities (Pyšek 1995). Cumulative lists of plant species exist for several European cities. In the Czech Republic, such lists are available, for example, for Horažďovice (Mandák et al. 1993), Ostrava (Sobotková 1995), Prague (Špryňar & Münzbergová 1998), and Plzeň (Chocholoušková & Pyšek 2003). However, species lists do not contain information on hotspots of plant diversity within a city, nor allow to determine the significance of individual elements within urban landscapes for the survival of specific plant species. It is also difficult to compare such lists due to the varying sizes of cities. In contrast, detailed floristic surveys, whether based on urban zones or grid mapping, are much less common. Typically, researchers divided the city into several urban patches and collected floristic data within each of them. Such an approach was used for Vienna (Adler & Mrkvicka 2003) and some other central-European cities. Although such data are very detailed and enable differentiation of the effect of landscape matrix on the occurrence of various plant groups, comparing them across different cities and landscapes is challenging.

Floristic grid maps exist for several cities in Europe, e.g. Rome (Celesti-Grapow 1995), Brussels (Godefroid & Koedam 2007), Zurich (Landolt 2001), Pécs (Wirth et al. 2020), and Ljubljana (Jogan et al. 2022). Despite variation in grid-cell sizes among these studies, the grid data allow to link floristic and environmental information, enabling comparisons of patterns between cities. All of the above-mentioned studies have shown that urban floras are generally extremely species-rich. While native species represent a large proportion of urban floras, cities also serve as hotspots for alien species introduced

both unintentionally and intentionally. The latter are mostly ornamental plants that have escaped from cultivation. The above-mentioned studies also showed that floras of cities respond very dynamically to disturbances and other environmental changes. At the same time, each city has its own peculiarities related to its unique landscape structure and history.

The Czech Republic has a long tradition of detailed floristic surveys. However, grid mapping has mainly been used for surveys of flora within several protected areas, e.g. the Podyjí/Thayatal National Park (Grulich 1997, Němec 2021), Protected Landscape Area Křivoklátsko (Kolbek et al. 1999), Protected Landscape Area Bílé Karpaty/White Carpathians (Jongepier & Pechanec 2006), and the Soutok area at the confluence of the Morava and Dyje rivers (Vicherek et al. 2000). As far as we know, grid-cell floristic data from Czech cities do not exist.

Here we present the results of a comprehensive survey of the current vascular flora of the city of Brno. With a population of nearly 400,000 inhabitants (https://www.czso.cz), it is the second-largest city in the Czech Republic after the capital, Prague. Brno is the administrative centre of the South Moravian Region. The city is situated in a topographically heterogeneous landscape with a mosaic of various land-use types. The area of today's city has been settled continuously since the Palaeolithic. The town was founded in the 13th century, and since then it has gradually developed into the largest city in Moravia, the eastern part of the Czech Republic. The city has an important industrial legacy dating back to the late 18th century and experienced significant development in the 20th century. This development led to the expansion of residential and commercial areas, especially on the periphery and in suburban municipalities, alongside the construction of highways and other infrastructure development. Due to the economic transformation since 1989, numerous brownfields have emerged.

The aims of this study are (i) to map and characterize the current urban flora of the city of Brno, (ii) to identify urban areas with high plant diversity or a high proportion of certain plant groups, and (iii) to explore how land use affects the distribution patterns of urban flora.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study area comprises the present-day administrative district of Brno-City (Brnoměsto in Czech; Fig. 1A). The total area of the district is 230.18 km². The population is 396,101 with a population density of 1,721 inhabitants per km² (https://www.czso.cz). The city is located in the south-eastern part of the Czech Republic, on the geographical border between the Carpathian Foredeep Basin and the Bohemian Massif, and on the border between the phytogeographical regions of Mesophyticum and Pannonian Thermophyticum (Skalický 1988). The elevation of the city ranges from 187 m a.s.l. at the confluence of the Svratka and Svitava rivers to 479 m a.s.l. at the summit of Kopeček hill. There are extensive floodplains in the south and south-east, isolated hills, deep river valleys and a hilly landscape, especially in the northern and western parts of the city. Brno has a mosaic of different bedrocks, with granodiorites and loess being the most prominent (Hanžl et al. 1999). Two rivers, a dam lake, several fishponds and other small water bodies, as well as periodic wetlands on arable land form potential habitat for aquatic and



Fig. 1. The study area of the city of Brno divided into 152 grid cells sized approximately $1.5 \text{ km} \times 1.3 \text{ km}$ with (A) elevations and (B) prevailing land-use type (the type with the largest area in a given cell). The city boundary is in grey, rivers and water bodies in blue and major highways and roads in orange.

wetland species. Brno is situated in an area characterized by a subcontinental climate, which is one of the warmest and driest regions in the Czech Republic. The mean annual temperature reaches 10.0 °C, a minimum monthly mean temperature of –0.9 °C is in January and a maximum of 20.6 °C is in July. The total annual precipitation is 511 mm with a minimum monthly total of 22 mm in February and a maximum of 71 mm in July. These values refer to the warm south-eastern part of the city (Brno-Tuřany weather station, 241 m a.s.l.) and the reference period of 1991–2020 (https://www.chmi.cz). The territory of Brno includes a small part of the Protected Landscape Area Moravian Karst and numerous small-scale, specially protected areas (Šmiták 1992, https://www.mapy.cz).

History of the botanical research

Botanical research into the flora of the city and the entire province of Moravia was started by C. F. Hochstetter in the late 1810s. He issued a series of exsiccates, Plants of the Brno county (Gewächse des Brünner Kreises), which contained several hundred species, collected an extensive herbarium, and published the first comprehensive report on the flora of Moravia (Hochstetter 1825), which included species occurrence records from Brno.

Hochstetter's botanical activities stimulated interest in the local flora among the city's amateur naturalists, including W. Tkany, J. Wessely and R. Rohrer. However, the plant records from Brno in the first flora of Moravia (Rohrer & Mayer 1835) are mainly based on earlier records and herbarium specimens by Hochstetter. Nevertheless, this flora further supported interest in botanical exploration of the city and its surroundings, as shown by herbarium specimens now deposited in the herbaria of the Moravian Museum (BRNM) and Masaryk University (BRNU). Numerous specimens were collected by J. N. Bayer, F. Jellinek, S. Reissek and W. Tkany.

Systematic recording of the city flora was carried out by A. Makowsky between the early 1850s and the early 1900s. His explorations resulted in a list of 362 species that occurred spontaneously or were cultivated in Brno (Makowsky 1863a). By combining his new finds with the records of earlier researchers, he compiled a flora of the Brno county (Die Flora des Brünner Kreises; Makowsky 1863b). Both works were published in the first volume of the journal of the Brno Natural History Society (Naturforschender Verein in Brünn), which was founded in 1861. Members of this society, including I. Czižek, F. Fiala, F. Haslinger and G. Niessl von Mayendorf contributed significantly to the knowledge of the local flora and deposited most of their herbarium specimens in the society herbarium, which is now included in the herbarium BRNU. Research into the local flora was also promoted by two editions of a field guide to the city's and county's flora (Haslinger 1869, 1880). The botanical knowledge gathered since the beginning of research into Moravian flora was summarized by A. Oborny in his Flora of Moravia and Austrian Silesia (Flora von Mähren und österr. Schlesien; Oborny 1883–1886), written in German, and its counterpart in Czech (Formánek 1887–1897).

In the early 20th century, research into the city's flora was continued mainly by J. Hruby, F. Teuber, O. Thenius and A. Wildt, who were all members of the Germanspeaking Brno Natural History Society. The specimens collected by these botanists, with the exception of Thenius, are mainly deposited in the herbarium BRNM. A. Wildt also compiled a field guide to the flora of the city and its environs with identification keys (Wildt 1910). Another guide to the city's flora, published two decades later (Hruby 1928), contained detailed lists of plant species at specific sites, including public parks near the city centre and well-known botanical sites near the city.

From the early 20th century, Czech speaking botanists also participated in the research into the flora of Brno. For instance, V. Filkuka collected a few hundred plants in the northern outskirts of Brno in the 1910s, while F. Švestka intensively studied the flora in various parts of the city and its environs in the 1920s and 1930s. The Natural History Club in Brno (Klub přírodovědecký v Brně), a Czech counterpart to the Naturforschender Verein in Brünn, was founded in 1905. In 1914, it launched its own journal, which soon became a publication venue for botanical records from the city and its surroundings. After the foundation of Masaryk University in 1919 and its Institute of General and Systematic Botany in 1921, the number of professional botanists in Brno increased. Nevertheless, there was no particular focus on the city's flora in the 1920s and early 1930s, except for two reports on ruderal plants (Krist 1935, 1940), which represent some of the earliest articles dealing with alien plants in this country.

In the second half of the 20th century, several local botanists, including F. Dvořák, J. Dvořák, F. Kühn, J. Müller, J. Saul, M. Smejkal and J. Unar recorded plant occurrences and collected specimens, which are now stored in the above-mentioned herbaria. Dvořák & Kühn (1966) published a list of alien plant taxa that were introduced with overseas wool import to Brno textile factories. Some of these species disappeared soon after their introduction, but some others are now a permanent part of the urban flora of Brno (e.g. *Amaranthus deflexus*, Grüll 1999). An overview of the synanthropic flora of the city, based on detailed knowledge of the flora of urban brownfields and waste lands, was published by Grüll (1979), who listed about 800 taxa from the city. The same author also conducted a systematic study of ruderal vegetation of Brno (Grüll 1981). An overview of the threatened plant species of Brno was published in a three-volume series by Šmiták & Tichý (1997) and Tichý et al. (1999, 2001).

Data sampling

We conducted a thorough floristic mapping of the Brno city between the years 2011 and 2021. To obtain a comparable density of floristic records across the city, we divided the study area into grid cells of 1.25' of longitude \times 0.75' of latitude, i.e. approx. 1.5 \times 1.3 km. These grid cells are derived from the third division of the grid system used for central European floristic mapping, with the basic grid cells of 10' \times 6' (Fig. 1A, B; Supplementary Data S1). The total number of grid cells covering the study area is 152. In each grid cells are derived three times in different parts of the growing season. For grid cells situated on the city's administrative border, we collected separate information on taxa occurring within and outside the city. For the descriptive analyses, we used complete species lists from the entire borderline grid cells in order to have comparable data for all grid cells. The taxa that were only found outside the city are marked in Supplementary Data S1.

In the field, we used mobile GPS applications whenever possible to accurately pinpoint the location of plant records and boundaries of grid cells. We stored the collected data in the Vratička database (https://www.sci.muni.cz/vraticka). In September 2022, the database contained 69,314 occurrence records from Brno and its vicinity within the studied grid cells. We imported these data into the Pladias Database of the Czech Flora and Vegetation (Wild et al. 2019, Chytrý et al. 2021, https://www.pladias.cz) in November 2022. Although we primarily involved professionals and qualified volunteer amateur botanists, several students also participated in the fieldwork. Their records were summarized in bachelor theses (Dršková 2013, Melicharová 2016, Harásek 2018, Musilová 2018, Svobodová 2018, Faltýnková 2020, Klepalová 2021) and master theses (Laštůvková 2017, Staviařová 2017, Melicharová 2019) at Masaryk University and Mendel University in Brno. In total, our team included 37 botanists.

Species

We supplemented our data with accurately localized records from the same time period (2011–2021) published or stored in the Pladias database (Wild et al. 2019), NDOP database of the Nature Conservation Agency of the Czech Republic (AOPK ČR 2022) and specimens stored in the herbarium of Masaryk University (BRNU) and catalogued in the online database JACQ (Rainer et al. 2023), and the herbarium of Mendel University in Brno (BRNL). The NDOP database contains records from several sources, including the citizen-science databases such as iNaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org) or BioLog (https://www.biolog.nature.cz). From these sources, only data supported by a photo of the plant or sampled by trustworthy amateur or professional botanists were included in the analyses. Taxa determined only to the genus level were omitted from the dataset before analyses.

Most of the taxa grown in domestic gardens are also grown in botanical gardens (van Kleunen et al. 2018). Therefore, we compiled a list of taxa that have escaped from cultivation in the Botanical Garden of the Faculty of Science, Masaryk University. This list complemented the spontaneous urban flora with information on escapes within the botanical garden, which is typically not available for private gardens. We included the list of escaping taxa from the Botanical Garden into our dataset.

Our dataset contained 74,060 records of 1,614 taxa from different taxonomic categories. We merged varieties and forms to the species level. We use the subspecies rank only if there were no doubts about their identification, otherwise we merged subspecies to the species level as well. We merged species in the taxonomically challenging groups into aggregates or sections following the national excursion flora (Kaplan et al. 2019). We consolidated multiple records of one species from one grid cell and analysed the presence/absence data at the grid-cell level. This resulted in 55,671 records, which are included in Supplementary Data S1. Taxonomy and nomenclature of plants follow Kaplan et al. (2019). We also discovered several new taxa for the Czech Republic, which are not included in Kaplan et al. (2019): Caryopteris × clandonensis A. Simmonds, Cotoneaster multiflorus Bunge, C. splendens Flinck et B. Hylmö, Eclipta prostrata (L.) L., Muhlenbergia mexicana (L.) Trin., Nassella tenuissima (Trin.) Barkworth, Pachysandra terminalis Siebold et Zucc., and Scoparia dulcis L. We supplemented our findings with published information regarding a new record of *Phacelia congesta* Hook. (Sutorý in Lustyk & Doležal 2021). We list these taxa with the author names, unlike the taxa included in Kaplan et al. (2019), which we list without author names. The complete list of taxa is in Supplementary Data S1.

Although our research focused on spontaneously occurring taxa, some of our records could represent remnants of cultivation. Especially in areas of former gardens, it was difficult to distinguish cultivation escapes from remnants of previous cultivation. Therefore, we denoted such doubtfully escaped taxa with the abbreviation "cult." in the taxon list. Detailed information, including the definition of aggregates, and taxonomic notes is given in Supplementary Data S1. The final list comprised 1,492 taxa used for the analyses.

For each taxon, we prepared a map of its distribution within the city of Brno. We created more than one map for taxonomically difficult taxa, one for the higher taxonomic rank, such as a species aggregate, and one or more for the lower taxonomic ranks, such as the individual species within the aggregate. For example, we present separate maps for *Aethusa cynapium*, *A. cynapioides* and *A. cynapium* agg. However, in the dataset of 1,492 species used for the analyses, we only included *A. cynapium* agg. The distribution maps are in Supplementary Data S2. For simplicity, we use the term "species" hereafter.

Plant groups

We extracted characteristics of species origin, residence time and invasion status, ecological specialization index, and Red List categories from the sources included in the Pladias database (Chytrý et al. 2021). We classified species by their origin and residence time in the Czech Republic following Pyšek et al. (2022) into (i) native, (ii) archaeophytes (alien species introduced before the year 1500), and (iii) neophytes (alien species introduced after 1500). Alien species (i.e. archaeophytes and neophytes together) were further classified by invasion status into (iv) casual, (v) naturalized, and (vi) invasive. Species marked as cultivated only (88 species) and alien species not included in Pyšek et al. (2022) (46 species) were omitted from the analyses.

We further classified the species as (vii) threatened according to the Red List of vascular plants of the Czech Republic (Grulich 2017, IUCN categories). All critically endangered (CR), endangered (EN), vulnerable (VU) and near threatened (NT) species were grouped as threatened. As some threatened species could be planted or spread by humans, we only focused on undoubtedly natural occurrences of these species. Threatened species that are probably spreading from cultivations or occur in man-made habitats within the city are marked by red or orange colour, respectively in Supplementary Data S1.

We also characterized (viii) the affinity of species to the urban environment as urbanophilous, urbanoneutral or urbanophobic using the urbanity index (Klotz et al. 2002). However, this index was only available for about half of the species on the list. The remaining species were not classified.

Finally, we used the ecological specialization index (Zelený & Chytrý 2019) for each species and distinguished a group of (ix) specialized species with a narrow niche.

We calculated the proportions of each plant group within each grid cell and mean values for indices.

Land-use variables

We characterized the environmental conditions in the study area using data on land use from the CORINE Land Cover 2020 dataset (Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2020). We aggregated the original land-use categories into seven broad types – residential,

industrial, urban green, agricultural, forest, grassland, and water. A description of these types along with the original land-use categories included can be found in Table 1. For the aggregated land-use types, we calculated proportions within each grid cell. The prevailing land-use types in the grid cells are shown in Fig. 1B.

We calculated correlations to test the relationships between the proportions of aggregated land-use types and the proportions of species assigned to individual plant groups across grid cells using R version 4.3.0 (R Core Team 2023).

 Table 1. Aggregated categories of land-use types and their description, based on the CORINE land-cover dataset (Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2020).

Category	Description	CORINE land-cover nomenclature
Residential	Areas mainly occupied by dwellings and buildings used by administrative/public utilities, including their connected areas (associated lands, approach road network parking lots).	111 Continuous urban fabric 112 Discontinuous urban fabric
Industrial	Areas mainly occupied by industrial activities of manufacturing, trade, financial activities and services, transport infrastructures for road traffic and rail networks, airport installations, river port installations, including their associated lands and access infrastructures. Includes industrial livestock rearing facilities. Areas also occupied by extractive activities, construction sites, human-made waste dump sites and their associated lands.	 121 Industrial or commercial units 122 Road and rail networks and associated land 124 Airports 131 Mineral extraction sites 133 Construction sites
Urban green	Areas created for recreational use. Includes green or recreational and leisure urban parks, sport and leisure facilities.	141 Green urban areas142 Sport and leisure facilities
Agricultural	Arable land, permanent crops, and heterogeneous agricultural areas	211 Non-irrigated arable land221 Vineyards222 Fruit trees and berry plantations242 Complex cultivation patterns
Forest	Areas occupied by forests and woodlands	311 Broad-leaved forest312 Coniferous forest313 Mixed forest324 Transitional woodland/shrub
Grassland	Grasslands under no or moderate human influence; lands that are permanently used for fodder production	231 Pastures321 Natural grassland
Water	Lakes, ponds and pools	512 Water bodies

Results

Species richness of Brno flora

We found 1,492 species of vascular plants, including 1,465 within the city of Brno and additional 27 species growing in the parts of grid cells outside the city boundaries. The numbers and proportions of species assigned to individual plant groups within the city flora are shown in Table 2 and Fig 2.

Plant groups	Number	Proportion (%)
Origin and residence time		
Native species	902	60.5
Archaeophytes	205	13.7
Neophytes	339	22.7
Cultivated only (incomplete record, not analysed)	46	3.1
Invasion status		
Casual	216	14.5
Archaeophytes	40	2.7
Neophytes	176	11.8
Naturalized	260	17.4
Archaeophytes	146	9.8
Neophytes	114	7.6
Invasive	67	4.5
Archaeophytes	18	1.2
Neophytes	49	3.3
Threatened and near threatened species	255	17.1
Critically endangered (CR)	10	0.7
Endangered (EN)	49	3.3
Vulnerable (VU)	44	2.9
Near threatened (NT)	152	10.2
Affinity to the urban environment		
Urbanophilous species	181	12.1
Urbanoneutral species	200	13.4
Urbanophobic species	486	32.6
Specialized species (index of specialization > 0)	1,027	68.8
All species	1,492	

Table 2. Numbers and proportions of species belonging to individual plant groups found in the city of Brno between the years 2011–2021. The figures include species found outside the city in the mapped grid cells. Numbers of threatened nad near threatened species do not include the species whose occurrence is secondary.

The most frequent species in the city flora, i.e. those occurring in every grid cell, were generalists, such as *Achillea millefolium* agg., *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Plantago major*, *Sambucus nigra*, *Taraxacum* sect. *Taraxacum*, *Trifolium repens*, and *Urtica dioica*. The most frequent invasive neophytes were *Erigeron annuus* (150/98.7% grid cells), *Robinia pseudoacacia* (144/94.7%) and *Solidago canadensis* (143/94.0%).

Native species prevailed in the overall urban flora, followed by neophytes and archaeophytes (Table 2, Figs 3A, B). However, archaeophytes were, on average, more numerous in the grid cells than neophytes (Fig. 3B). Archaeophytes also exhibited a higher number of species with naturalized status in the total flora and higher average number per grid cell than naturalized neophytes. In contrast, there were more neophyte species with invasive status than archaeophyte species with invasive status, both in the total city flora and in grid cells (Figs 3A, B).

Overall, the proportion of native species in the grid cells ranged from 47% in highly urbanized grid cells to 91% in forested areas on the urban periphery. The proportion of



Fig. 2. Distribution of individual plant groups within the city of Brno. The numbers given in the maps are proportions for plant groups and mean index values for specialized and urbanophilous species per grid cell. Threatened species include near threatened species. Information on urbanity was only available for about half of the species.

archaeophytes varied between 3% and 32%, with higher values found in the agricultural parts of the city. The proportion of neophytes ranged from 2% to 26%, with higher values in the most urbanized areas (Figs 2A–C). The numbers of alien species grouped according to their residence time and invasion status in the city flora and their average numbers per grid cell are given in Figs 3A, B. Distributions of casual, naturalized and invasive species groups are shown in Figs 2D–F.



Fig. 3. Number of species assigned to alien plant groups in the city flora (A) and average numbers of species assigned to alien plant groups per grid cell (B). Percentages represent the proportions of the plant groups in the total city flora.

Table 3. Complete list of threatened species found in the study area during the years 2011–2021. Near threatened species are excluded. The species not used for the analyses because they could be potentially spreading from cultivation, remnants of cultivations or secondary occurrences in man-made habitats are marked by asterisks. Species found outside the city only are marked by two asterisks.

Critically endangered (CR)	Endangered (EN)	Vulnerable (VU)
Agrostemma githago*	Althaea officinalis*	Adonis vernalis
Ajuga chamaepitys	Anemone sylvestris*	Amaranthus blitum
Asplenium ceterach*	Antennaria dioica	Asplenium scolopendrium
Chenopodium murale	Anthriscus caucalis	Campanula bononiensis
Erysimum repandum**	Carex hordeistichos	Carex alba*
Filago germanica	Centaurea montana*	Carex stenophylla
Herniaria hirsuta	Cephalanthera rubra	Caucalis platycarpos
Hibiscus trionum	Chamaecytisus albus**	Centaurium pulchellum
Montia arvensis	Chenopodium vulvaria	Chondrilla juncea
Polycnemum arvense	Cotoneaster laxiflorus	Corallorhiza trifida
Polycnemum majus	Crepis praemorsa	Cypripedium calceolus
Puccinellia distans*	Crepis setosa	Epipactis palustris
Spergularia marina*	Dactylorhiza incarnata	Équisetum ramosissimum
Tordylium maximum**	Dactylorhiza sambucina	Euphorbia angulata
2	Dianthus gratianopolitanus*	Euphorbia falcata
	Dianthus superbus**	Gagea bohemica
	Draba muralis	Gagea villosa
	Drymocallis rupestris	Gentianopsis ciliata
	Echium maculatum	Hvdrocharis morsus-ranae*
	Epipactis albensis	Hyoscvamus niger
	Epipogium aphyllum	Iris graminea*
	Equisetum ×moorei	Iris pumila*
	Equisetum hvemale**	Iris variegata
	Erigeron podolicus	Lithospermum officinale
	Festuca drymeia**	Melampyrum arvense
	Gentiana cruciata**	Melampyrum cristatum
	Gynsonhila paniculata*	Monotropa hypopitys
	Hinnuris vulgaris*	Muscari neolectum*
	Inula germanica	Odontites luteus
	Kickxia spuria	Orobanche elatior
	Lepidium coronopus	Platanthera hifolia
	Leucoium aestivum	Platanthera chlorantha
	Linum hirsutum*	Potentilla alba
	Malva pusilla	Pulsatilla grandis
	Melilotus dentatus	Pulsatilla pratensis subsp. bohemica
	Minuartia rubra	Ranunculus rionii
	Misopates orontium	Reseda luteola
	Nymphoides peltata*/**	Rosa gallica
	Orchis purpurea	Rosa micrantha
	Orlava grandiflora*	Rosa spinosissima
	Ornithogalum boucheanum	Rubus saxatilis
	Orobanche picridis	Sclerochloa dura
	Parietaria officinalis	Senecio erucifolius
	Phelipanche purpurea	Stachys annua
	Pilosella leucopsilon	Stipa dasyphylla
	Prunus fruticosa	Taxus baccata*
	Rumex stenophyllus	Trifolium fragiferum
	Salsola tragus subsp. tragus	Trifolium ruhens
	Scrophularia vernalis	Urtica urens
	Sideritis montana	Valerianella carinata
	Stachys germanica	, and turnering can multi
	Sting smirnovii	
	Tephroseris integrifolia**	
	Thesium dollineri	
	Thymelaea passerina	
	Torilis arvensis	
	Verhascum hlattaria	
	Verbascum speciosum*	
	Yanthium strumarium	
	Aunmum strumurtum	

We found 255 threatened (including near threatened) species (17% of the overall city flora, Table 2). Of these, eight critically endangered species occurred spontaneously within the city (*Ajuga chamaepitys, Chenopodium murale, Filago germanica, Herniaria hirsuta, Hibiscus trionum, Montia arvensis, Polycnemum arvense* and *P. majus*). In areas of the studied grid cells outside of the city boundaries, we also found *Erysimum repandum* and *Tordylium maximum* (Supplementary Data S2). Additionally, 49 species found are endangered (e.g. *Anthriscus caucalis, Echium maculatum, Inula germanica, and Scrophularia vernalis*), 44 species are vulnerable (e.g. *Asplenium scolopendrium, Carex stenophylla, Ranunculus rionii, and Stachys annua*), and 152 species are near threatened (e.g. *Androsace elongata, Bothriochloa ischaemum, Crepis tectorum, and Viola rupestris*). All threatened (excluding near threatened) species are listed in Table 3. The proportions of threatened and near threatened species in the individual grid cells are shown in Fig. 2G.

The flora of Brno also contains 181 urbanophilous species (Table 2, Fig. 2H). Mean index of specialization per grid cell is shown in Fig. 2I.

Relationship of flora with urban land use

We found distinct distribution patterns of individual plant groups within the city. The proportions of species assigned to the studied plant groups in the grid cells reflected the proportions of aggregated land-use categories, although the strength and direction of response to specific land-use categories differed markedly between plant groups (Fig. 4).

The proportion of forest area within grid cells was the most important factor for each plant group except for threatened species. The high proportion of forest was positively related to the proportion of native and specialized species, whereas it was negatively related to the proportions of all groups of alien species and urbanophilous species. However, the proportion of forest area does not correlate with the total species richness and the proportion of threatened species in Brno. The importance of other land-use types varied among plant groups. Besides forests, other important factors included residential and industrial land use, which supported the high proportion of neophytes and naturalized alien species and were negatively related to specialized species. The high proportion of archaeophytes was maintained mainly by agriculture and less by residential land use. Less important correlates were water bodies, urban green spaces, and grasslands (Fig. 4). The proportion of threatened species was slightly positively correlated with the proportion of grasslands in the grid cells.

Discussion

Current flora of the city of Brno

During 11 years of field mapping of the flora in the city of Brno, we recorded about one third of the total flora of the Czech Republic (Kaplan et al. 2019). Our results are consistent with other studies showing that cities can harbour remarkably high plant diversity (Pyšek 1998, Chocholoušková & Pyšek 2003, Kühn et al. 2004, Wania et al. 2006, Godefroid & Koedam 2007).

	Species richness	Proportion of native species	Proportion of archaeophytes	Proportion of neophytes	Proportion of casual species	Proportion of naturalized species	Proportion of invasive species	Proportion of threatened species	Proportion of specialized species	Proportion of urbanophilous specie:
Number of land-use types	0.38*	0	-0.08	0.08	0.05	0.02	-0.12	0.29*	-0.13	-0.08
Proportion of agricultural areas	-0.15	-0.41*	0.55*	0.17	0.24	0.42*	0.42*	-0.05	-0.11	0.39*
Proportion of forests	-0.03	0.88*	-0.87*	-0.76*	-0.67*	-0.88*	-0.76*	-0.16	0.67*	-0.84*
Proportion of grasslands	0.13	0.11	-0.11	-0.1	-0.1	-0.08	-0.17	0.43*	0.05	-0.18
Proportion of industrial areas	-0.01	-0.47*	0.43*	0.45*	0.4*	0.45*	0.43*	0.18	-0.5*	0.47*
Proportion of residential areas	0.24	-0.52*	0.37*	0.64*	0.46*	0.52*	0.37*	0.18	-0.56*	0.5*
Proportion of urban green areas	-0.06	-0.04	-0.01	0.11	0.05	0.05	0	-0.07	-0.06	0.08
Proportion of water bodies	-0.04	0.11	-0.11	-0.09	-0.11	-0.11	-0.08	-0.17	0.15	-0.08

Fig. 4. Correlation matrix with proportions of individual plant groups and urban land-use types within grid cells in Brno. The colour scale goes from red for positive correlation to blue for negative correlation. *P < 0.05. Threatened species include near threatened species. Note that information about urbanization affinity was only available for about half of the species.

Urban area is a highly dynamic fine mosaic of habitats that changes in space and time. Our data are also affected by such dynamics. We are aware of numerous species occurrences that have disappeared during the survey period (e.g. *Montia arvensis* occurred casually in the university campus Bohunice in 2016 and subsequently disappeared). It is also likely that we have overlooked some species. One such example could be *Corydalis intermedia*, which was documented by Miroslav Smejkal in the 1990s and may still be growing in the city. On the other hand, some species have been found at new sites, i.e. *Asplenium ceterach* subsp. *bivalens* (Řepka et al. in Lustyk & Doležal 2020), *Caryopteris ×clandonensis* (Danihelka et al. 2020), *Cotoneaster multiflorus* (Sedlák & Řepka in Lustyk & Doležal 2022), *Eclipta prostrata* (Doležal & Řepka in Lustyk & Doležal 2022), *Lemna minuta* (Landucci in Hadinec & Lustyk 2014), *Muhlenbergia mexicana* (Řepka et al. in Lustyk & Doležal

ŝ

2022), Nassella tenuissima (Řepka et al. in Lustyk & Doležal 2021), Panicum virgatum (Chytrý & Danihelka in Hadinec & Lustyk 2015), Phacelia congesta (Sutorý in Lustyk & Doležal 2021), Polypogon viridis (Řepka et al. in Lustyk & Doležal 2021), and Scoparia dulcis (Řepka in Lustyk & Doležal 2019). It should be noted that the occurrences of some of the above-mentioned species are either results of probable intentional planting (e.g. Asplenium ceterach subsp. bivalens) or it is difficult to differentiate whether their occurrence is spontaneous or not (see notes in Supplementary Data S1).

Since the end of our fieldwork in 2021, we have found or learned about new localities of several previously found rare species and entirely new (mainly ornamental) species that have escaped from cultivation. Such an example is *Perovskia abrotanoides* \times *P. atriplicifolia*, which was found as an escape from cultivation in a paved area near the city centre in 2023 (Chytrý in Lustyk & Doležal 2024 in prep.).

Decline of native species and archaeophytes

Although many species occurrence records were published from the study area in the past, most of them concerned geographically or ecologically unusual plant species. We do not have complete historical data to give a more accurate overview of the dynamics of urban flora in the city of Brno. Nevertheless, a comparison of our data with previously published floristic records documents the loss of many, mostly highly specialized species. Makowsky (1863b) was the last who collected *Himantoglossum jankae* on the southern slope of Hády hill in 1855. He documented several rare plant species of primarily nutrient-poor habitats that have completely disappeared from the city (e.g. Achillea nobilis, Centunculus minimus, Daphne cneorum, Goodyera repens, and Pseudognaphalium *luteoalbum*). Most records of the above-mentioned plants in the study area date back to the 19th century, and the most recent ones are from the 1950s–1960s. An important group of species that have disappeared from Brno are wet-meadow specialists (e.g. Viola elatior, 1836 Tkany BRNU), including those related to fens and mineral-rich soils (e.g. Pedicularis palustris, s. d. Formánek BRNM; Juncus subnodulosus, 1977 Řepka BRNU and Scirpoides holoschoenus, 1977 Řepka BRNU, published by Řepka in Hadinec & Lustyk 2017). Several formerly relatively frequent native species are recently restricted to small populations, such as the orchids Corallorhiza trifida, Cypripedium calceolus, Dactylorhiza sambucina, and Orchis purpurea.

At the beginning of the 20th century, the landscape of the current urban periphery was predominantly agricultural. From that time, researchers reported several weed species that have disappeared from the whole city or even country due to changes in agricultural practice (e.g. *Bifora radians*, 1922 Thenius BRNU; *Linaria arvensis*, Laus 1908). Šmiták & Tichý (1997) listed 76 extinct plant species in Brno. However, our detailed survey found that 19 of these species still occur there, e.g. *Adonis aestivalis, Inula germanica*, and *Verbascum phoeniceum*.

Threatened and specialized species

Our results show that highly urbanized areas within the city select for urban-tolerant generalist species. Fragmentation and isolation of natural and seminatural habitats in residential and industrial areas, combined with high levels of disturbance, thus exhibit a significant impact on urban plant community structure. However, we also found that the city

provides refuge for some specialized and threatened species that cannot survive in the open, intensively managed agricultural landscape. The threatened species that occur in the city form a very heterogeneous group. Most of them survive in patches of natural or seminatural habitats, such as in dry grasslands (Šmiták & Tichý 1997, Tichý et al. 1999, 2001). Still, some threatened species have found their secondary niche in artificial habitats, e.g. Saxifraga tridactylites on railways and pavements, and halophilous plants such as Puccinellia distans and Spergularia marina along roads, which is consistent with observations at the national level (e.g. Saxifraga tridactylites in Ducháček 2009; Spergu*laria marina* in Kaplan et al. 2016). Threatened species also include several weed and ruderal species that were dependent on previous management practices, such as Ajuga chamaepitys, Anthriscus caucalis, and Chenopodium murale. These species, which have recently become rare in agricultural landscapes, survive at some sites in urbanized areas. Because only natural occurrences of threatened plants were considered, escapes of threatened species from cultivations were not included into relevant statistics. This species group is quite numerous and includes particularly ornamental plants that have spread from cultivation (e.g. Leucojum vernum, Menyanthes trifoliata, and Spiraea salicifolia).

Alien species in the flora of Brno

The loss of specialized native species or archaeophytic weeds has been partly complemented by new introductions. Dvořák & Kühn (1966) and later on Grüll (1972, 1979, 1994, 1999) documented several plants introduced along railways, in sandpits, and with wool imported from overseas and processed by the textile industry in the 1950s–1980s. Most of these new taxa disappeared soon after their introduction (e.g. *Bromus scoparius*, *Cotula australis*, *Lawrencia glomerata*), but some remnants of these introductions (e.g. *Amaranthus deflexus*, *Artemisia tournefortiana*, *Geranium sibiricum*, and *Rumex patientia*) are established and naturalized in the urban flora till now. Nowadays, *Amaranthus deflexus* is a common species of urban lawns, *Artemisia tournefortiana* occurs in vacant lots and back yards in the city centre, *Geranium sibiricum* grows in ruderal places, and *Rumex patientia* is common in brownfields and along the railways and roads.

The introductions of alien species to the city is continuing. There are two main factors underlying the observed distribution patterns of alien plant species: (i) high habitat invasibility in urbanized areas associated with frequent or severe disturbances, often coupled with high nutrient inputs and (ii) high propagule pressure (Davis et al. 2000, Pyšek et al. 2005, Pyšek & Chytrý 2014, van Kleunen et al. 2015, Kühn et al. 2017). Our results show that alien species in urbanized areas increase their richness by combining two main introduction pathways – intentional cultivation and unintentional transfer. Most alien species are cultivated in private gardens and public green spaces and escape from cultivation (Čeplová et al. 2017, van Kleunen et al. 2018). This is why a high proportion of alien plants are found in residential areas and their surroundings. Some alien species are introduced unintentionally with traffic (von der Lippe & Kowarik 2007, Hulme 2009, Schadek et al. 2009, van Kleunen et al. 2018). Such species are frequent in grid cells with more roads and railways (see e.g. distribution maps for *Atriplex micrantha*, *Dittrichia graveolens*, *Rumex patientia*, and *Senecio inaequidens*; Supplementary Data S2). Many alien species (e.g. *Artemisia tournefortiana*, *Bromus hordeaceus*, *Echinops sphaerocephalus*,

and *Lepidium ruderale*) have been found mainly at industrial sites and brownfields, in accordance with findings from other cities (Schadek et al. 2009).

The cumulative numbers of species from alien plant groups indicate that even though archaeophytes are less numerous in total, they exhibit higher average proportions within grid cells than neophytes. A similar pattern is shown for invasive alien species, indicating that few common alien species dominate in the whole study area (Pyšek et al. 2005, Lososová et al. 2012a, b).

Effects of land use on urban flora

Several studies of urban floras have shown that different land-use types harbour different assemblages of species (e.g. Celesti-Grapow et al. 2006, Knapp et al. 2008, Lososová et al. 2012a, b, Čeplová et al. 2017, Planchuelo et al. 2019). This also applies to the city of Brno.

Our maps show that mosaics of natural and seminatural habitats represent centres of species diversity within the city (Fig. 2). These areas can serve as urban refugia for specialized native flora, similar to protected areas in agricultural landscapes (Pyšek et al. 2002, Knapp et al. 2008, Planchuelo et al. 2019).

Our results indicate a high importance of forested areas for the diversity of native and specialist species, i.e. species with narrow ecological niches that are normally confined to (semi)natural habitats. Moreover, forests with natural species composition seem to prevent the occurrence of many alien species and provide suitable habitat supporting many understory herbaceous species even if surrounded by densely built-up areas (Wang et al. 2016). The territory of the city of Brno includes a small part of the Protected Landscape Area Moravian Karst and numerous small-scale specially protected areas (Šmiták 1992; https://www.mapy.cz) with preserved forest remnants that have a high diversity of native forest species (e.g. *Asarum europaeum, Cyclamen purpurascens, Lathyrus niger, Polygonatum multiflorum*, and *Tanacetum corymbosum*). By contrast, in unprotected urban forests, we often found alien species, such as *Impatiens parviflora*, and *Robinia pseudoacacia*.

Several studies have revealed the importance of the patches of (semi)natural grasslands for biodiversity (Onandia et al. 2019, Planchuelo et al. 2019). Also in Brno, small patches of dry grasslands promote the survival of several rare and threatened species, such as *Campanula sibirica*, *Inula oculus-christi*, *Linum tenuifolium*, and *Stipa* spp.

Typical urban habitats are vacant lots and brownfields with early- or mid-successional stages of seminatural vegetation. These habitats can be species-rich, as can be seen in many European cities (Knapp et al. 2008, Lososová et al. 2011, Bonthoux et al. 2014). We found that brownfields, situated mainly in the industrial parts of the city, provide suitable living conditions for both common urbanophilous species and specialized rare species. For example, the area of the former textile factory Mosilana hosts several urbanophilous generalists (e.g. *Bassia scoparia, Eragrostis minor*, and *Paulownia tomentosa*) together with several habitat specialists (e.g. *Scrophularia umbrosa, Sparganium erectum*, and *Veronica beccabunga*) occurring in the artificial water channel.

Larger proportions of both residential and industrial land-use types, together with the great length of roads and railways promotes many alien species and generalists. These plants are adapted to a high frequency and/or intensity of disturbance, resist strong

changes in the physical environment and may use traffic as a dispersal vector (von der Lippe & Kowarik 2007, Hulme 2009, Schadek et al. 2009, Šumberová & Ducháček 2017). In the city of Brno, examples of such plants are *Rumex patientia* and *Senecio vernalis*.

Public green areas are often considered hotspots of urban biodiversity (e.g. Forman 2014, Salinitro et al. 2018). However, it has been repeatedly shown that this is not true for temperate European cities, at least not for vascular plants (see e.g. Lososová et al. 2012a, Jogan et al. 2022). Also in Brno, the proportion of urban green areas in the grid cells has likely a little effect on plant diversity. Urban green areas, including parks and lawns, are established by sowing a few grass species and intensively managed by frequent mowing. Beds with ornamental plants are usually well-maintained, leaving limited habitats for spontaneous flora. Recently, there has been a debate in the Czech Republic about the frequency of mowing of urban lawns, involving both citizens and managers. This has resulted in some lawns being mown in mosaics, but it is still too early to detect the effect of this management on spontaneously occurring native plants in cities. We recognize that urban green spaces are an important component of a healthy human environment supporting citizen well-being and offering several non-material ecosystem services (Amati & Taylor 2010), but their contribution to the diversity of spontaneous plants is currently relatively small.

Conclusions

Our study presents a dataset of 1,492 species found in Brno and their distributions within the city, recorded in a grid of 152 cells. These species are classified by their origin, residence time, invasion status, threat status in the Czech flora, index of ecological specialization, and affinity to the urban environment. Of these, 902 are native, 205 are archaeophytes, and 339 are neophytes. The remaining 46 species are probably remnants of cultivation. The flora of Brno contains 255 species classified as threatened or near threatened in the Czech Republic.

Our results confirm that current spontaneous flora of Brno is species rich. However, urbanization affects the proportions of different plant groups. A high level of urbanization has a negative impact on the survival of native, highly specialized plants. Our study detected areas with a high diversity of native, threatened, and specialized plants within the city. These areas are mainly located on the periphery of the city, especially in the northwestern, forested part. Areas with natural and seminatural habitats, especially forests and grasslands, are essential for maintaining high plant diversity in the city.

Supplementary materials

Data S1. List of spontaneously occurring taxa found in the study area in the years 2011–2021 and their occurrences in grid cells.

Data S2. Distributional maps of individual taxa.

Supplementary materials are available at www.preslia.cz

Acknowledgements

This study resulted from the enthusiasm of many botanists, researchers, and people interested in the urban flora of Brno. Our special thanks go to the late Vít Grulich and Vladimír Řehořek, who identified a number of species. We also thank Petr Šmarda for revising the *Festuca* taxa, Vladimír Hans and Jiří Rozehnal for managing the Vratička database, and all botanists who also contributed their floristic records, namely Daniela Bártová-Dittrichová, Helena Chytrá, Magdaléna Chytrá, Michal Ducháček, Daniel Dvořák, Jana Jiroušková, Ondřej Knápek, Tomáš Koutecký, Deana Láníková, Salza Palpurina, Tereza Růžičková, Hana Sekerková, Jindřich Šmiták, Petra Štěpánková, Jiří Unar, Tomáš Vymyslický, and David Zelený. We thank Petr Petřík and an anonymous reviewer for their inspiring and valuable comments. The study was funded by the Czech Science Foundation (project 19-28491X). JD and KŠ were supported by the long-term research development project RVO 67985939 of the Czech Academy of Sciences.

References

- Adler W. & Mrkvicka A. C. (2003) Die Flora Wiens, gestern und heute. Die wildwachsenden Farn- und Blütenpflanzen in der Stadt Wien von der Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts bis zur Jahrtausendwende. – Verlag des Naturhistorischen Museums Wien, Wien.
- Amati M. & Taylor L. (2010) From green belts to green infrastructure. Planning Practice & Research 25: 143–155.
- AOPK ČR (2022) Nálezová databáze ochrany přírody [Species occurrence database]. On-line database; portal.nature.cz.
- Aronson M. F. J., La Sorte F. A., Nilon C. H., Madhusudan K., Goddard M. A., Lepczyk C. A., Warren P. S., Williams N. S. G., Cilliers S., Clarcson B., Dobbs C., Dolan R., Hedblom M., Klotz S., Kooijmans J. L., Kühn I., MacGregor-Fors I., McDonnell M., Mörtberg U., Pyšek P., Siebert S., Sushinsky J., Werner P. & Winter M. (2014) A global analysis of the impacts of urbanization on bird and plant diversity reveals key anthropogenic drivers. – Proceedings of the Royal Society B 281: 20133330.
- de Barros Ruas R., Costa L. M. S. & Bered F. (2022) Urbanization driving changes in plant species and communities – A global view. – Global Ecology and Conservation 38: e02243.
- Bonthoux S., Brun M., Di Pietro F., Greulich S. & Bouché-Pillon S. (2014) How can wastelands promote biodiversity in cities? A review. – Landscape and Urban Planning 132: 79–88.
- Celesti-Grapow L. (1995) Atlante della flora di Roma [Atlas of the flora of Rome]. Comune di Roma, Rome.
- Celesti-Grapow L., Pyšek P., Jarošík V. & Blasi C. (2006) Determinants of native and alien species richness in the urban flora of Rome. Diversity and Distributions 12: 490–501.
- Čeplová N., Kalusová V. & Lososová Z. (2017) Effects of settlement size, urban heat island and habitat type on urban plant biodiversity. Landscape and Urban Planning 159: 15–22.
- Chocholoušková Z. & Pyšek P. (2003) Changes in composition and structure of urban flora over 120 years: a case study of the city of Plzeň. Flora 198: 366–376.
- Chytrý M., Danihelka J., Kaplan Z., Wild J., Holubová D., Novotný P., Řezníčková M., Rohn M., Dřevojan P., Grulich V., Klimešová J., Lepš J., Lososová Z., Pergl J., Sádlo J., Šmarda P., Štepánková P., Tichý L., Axmanová I., Bartušková A., Blažek P., Chrtek J. Jr., Fischer F. M., Guo W.-Y., Herben T., Janovský Z., Konečná M., Kühn I., Moravcová L., Petřík P., Pierce S., Prach K., Prokešová H., Štech M., Těšitel J., Těšitelová T., Večeřa M., Zelený D. & Pyšek P. (2021) Pladias Database of the Czech Flora and Vegetation. – Preslia 93: 1–87.
- Copernicus Land Monitoring Service (2020) Corine Land Cover 2018, version 2020_20u1. URL: https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc2018.
- Danihelka J., Knollová I. & Řezníčková M. (2020) Ořechokřídlec klandonský (*Caryopteris ×clandonensis*) zplanělý na Brněnsku [*Caryopteris ×clandonensis* found escaped from cultivation near the city of Brno (Czech Republic)]. Acta Rerum Naturalium 24: 13–18.
- Davis M. A., Grime J. P. & Thompson K. (2000) Fluctuating resources in plant communities: a general theory of invasibility. – Journal of Ecology 88: 528–534.
- Díaz S., Settele J., Brondízio E. S., Ngo H. T., Guèze M., Agard J., Arneth A., Balvanera P., Brauman K. A., Butchart S. H. M., Chan K. M. A., Garibaldi L. A., Ichii K., Liu J., Subramanian S. M., Midgley G. F., Miloslavich P., Molnár Z., Obura D., Pfaff A., Polasky S., Purvis A., Razzaque J., Reyers B., Roy Chowdhury R., Shin Y. J., Visseren-Hamakers I. J., Willis K. J. & Zayas C. N. (eds) (2019) IPBES: Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. – IPBES Secretariat, Bonn.

- Dršková M. (2013) Změny v rozšíření invazních druhů rostlin podél řek Svratky a Svitavy (1998–2012) [Changes in distribution of invasive plant species along the Svratka and Svitava rivers (1998–2012)]. – Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Ducháček M. (2009) Lomikámen trojprstý (*Saxifraga tridactylites*) ohrožený druh expandující na železničních nádražích [*Saxifraga tridactylites* – an endangered species spreading at railway stations]. – Muzeum a současnost, ser. natur. 24: 3–26.
- Dvořák J. & Kühn F. (1966) Zavlečené rostliny na pozemcích přádelny vlny "Mosilana" n.p. v Brně [Introduced plants in the area of the wool-processing factory "Mosilana" in Brno]. – Preslia 38: 327–332.
- Faltýnková E. (2020) Flóra a vegetace na severním okraji Brna [Flora and vegetation on the northern outskirts of the city Brno]. – Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Forman R. T. T. (2014) Urban ecology: science of cities. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Formánek E. (1887–1897) Květena Moravy a rakouského Slezska [Flora of Moravia and Austrian Silesia]. Vol. 1–2. – Brno & Praha.
- Goddard M. A., Dougill A. J. & Benton T. G. (2010) Scaling up from gardens: biodiversity conservation in urban environments. – Trends in Ecology & Evolution 25: 90–98.
- Godefroid S. & Koedam N. (2007) Urban plant species patterns are highly driven by density and function of built-up areas. – Landscape Ecology 22: 1227–1239.
- Grulich V. (1997) Atlas rozšíření cévnatých rostlin Národního parku Podyjí/Thayatal [Verbreitungsatlas der Gefäßpflanzen des Nationalparks Podyjí/Thayatal]. Masaryk University, Brno.
- Grulich V. (2017) Červený seznam cévnatých rostlin ČR [The Red List of vascular plants of the Czech Republic]. – Příroda 35: 75–132.
- Grüll F. (1972) Artemisia tournefortiana Reichenb., nový zavlečený druh v ČSSR [Artemisia tournefortiana Reichenb., a new introduced species in the CSSR]. – Preslia 44: 274–276.
- Grüll F. (1979) Synantropní flóra a její rozšíření na území města Brna [Synanthropic flora and its distribution in the city of Brno]. Studie ČSAV 1979/3: 1–224.
- Grüll F. (1981) Fytocenologická charakteristika ruderálních společenstev na území města Brna [Phytosociological characterization of ruderal communities on the territory of the city of Brno]. – Studie ČSAV 1981/10: 5–127.
- Grüll F. (1994) Společenstvo s Rumex patientia v oblasti železničního uzlu v Brně [A community with Rumex patientia at a railway crossroad in Brno]. Zprávy Československé botanické společnosti 27 (1992): 36–40.
- Grüll F. (1999) Bohatý výskyt synantropního druhu Amaranthus deflexus v Brně [Abundant occurrence of the synanthropic species Amaranthus deflexus in Brno]. – Zprávy České botanické společnosti 34: 45–47.
- Hadinec J. & Lustyk P. (eds) (2014) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XII. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 49: 73–206.
- Hadinec J. & Lustyk P. (eds) (2015) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XIII. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 50: 23–129.
- Hadinec J. & Lustyk P. (eds) (2017) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XV. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 52: 37–133.
- Hanžl P., Krejčí Z., Vít J., Otava J., Novák Z. & Stráník Z. (1999) Geologická mapa Brna a okolí 1:50 000 [Geological map of Brno city and its surroundings 1:50 000]. – Český geologický ústav, Praha.
- Harásek M. (2018) Vegetace v okolí západní části Brněnské přehrady [Vegetation in the vicinity of the western part of the Brno Reservoir]. – Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Haslinger F. (1869) Botanisches Excursionsbuch. Eine Einleitung die im Brünner Kreise und dem angrenzenden Gebiete vorkommenden phanerogamen Pflanzen zu bestimmen. – Buschak & Irrgang, Brünn.
- Haslinger F. (1880) Botanisches Excursionsbuch. F
 ür den Br
 ünner Kreis und das angrenzende Gebiet, sowie f
 ür Theile des Znaimer und Iglauer Kreises mit Einschluss der Nutz- und Zierh
 ölzer der G
 ärten und öffentlichen Anlagen Br
 ünn's. Ed. 2. – Buschak & Irrgang, Br
 ünn.
- Hochstetter C. F. (1825) Übersicht des Merkwürdigsten aus Mährens Flora. Flora 8 (33–34): 513–525 & 529–537.
- Hruby J. (1928) Botanischer Führer durch Brünn und Umgebung. Josef Czerny, Landskron, Brünn.
- Hulme P. (2009) Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species pathways in an era of globalization. Journal of Applied Ecology 46: 10–18.
- Jogan N., Küzmič F. & Šilc U. (2022) Urban structure and environment impact plant species richness and floristic composition in a Central European city. Urban Ecosystems 25: 149–163.
- Jongepier J. W. & Pechanec V. (2006) Atlas rozšíření cévnatých rostlin CHKO Bílé Karpaty [Distribution atlas of vascular plants of the White Carpathians Protected Landscape Area]. – ZO ČSOP Bílé Karpaty, Veselí nad Moravou.

- Kaplan Z., Danihelka J., Chrtek J. jun., Kirschner J., Kubát K., Štech M. & Štepánek J. (eds) (2019) Klíč ke květeně České republiky [Key to the flora of the Czech Republic]. Ed. 2. – Academia, Praha.
- Kaplan Z., Danihelka J., Štepánková J., Ekrt L., Chrtek J. jun., Zázvorka J., Grulich V., Řepka R., Prančl J., Ducháček M., Kúr P., Šumberová K. & Brůna J. (2016) Distributions of vascular plants in the Czech Republic. Part 2. – Preslia 88: 229–322.
- Klepalová V. (2021) Botanický průzkum území mezi Řečkovicemi a Jinačovicemi u Brna [Botanical survey of the area between Řečkovice and Jinačovice near Brno]. – Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Klotz S., Kühn I. & Durka W. (2002) BIOLFLOR: eine Datenbank zur biologisch-ökologischen Merkmalen der Gefässpflanzen in Deutschland. – Schriftenreihe für Vegetationskunde 38, Bundesamt für Naturschutz, Bonn.
- Knapp S., Kühn I., Schweiger O. & Klotz S. (2008) Challenging urban species diversity: contrasting phylogenetic patterns across plant functional groups in Germany. – Ecology Letters 11: 1054–1064.
- Kolbek J., Mladý F., Petříček V. et al. (1999) Květena Chráněné krajinné oblasti a Biosférické rezervace Křivoklátsko 1. Mapy rozšíření cévnatých rostlin [Flora of the Protected Landscape Area and Biosphere Reserve Křivoklátsko 1. Vascular plant distribution maps]. – Agentura ochrany přírody a krajiny České republiky, Praha.
- Kowarik I. (2011) Novel urban ecosystems, biodiversity and conservation. Environmental Pollution 159: 1974–1983.
- Krist V. (1935) Příspěvek k adventivní a ruderální květeně Moravy I [Contribution to the adventive and ruderal flora of Moravia I]. Sborník Klubu přírodovědeckého v Brně 17: 65–72.
- Krist V. (1940) Příspěvek k adventivní a ruderální květeně Moravy II [Contribution to the adventive and ruderal flora of Moravia II]. – Sborník Klubu přírodovědeckého v Brně 23: 57–59.
- Kühn I., Brandl R. & Klotz S. (2004) The flora of German cities is naturally species rich. Evolutionary Ecology Research 6: 749–764.
- Kühn I., Wolf J. & Schneider A. (2017) Is there an urban effect in alien plant invasions? Biological Invasions 19: 3505–3513.
- Landolt E. (2001) Flora der Stadt Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag AG, Basel.
- Laštůvková R. (2017) Flóra severního okraje Brna mezi Řečkovicemi a Českou [Flora of the northern outskirts of the city Brno between Řečkovice and Česká]. Master thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Laus H. (1908) Mährens Ackerunkräuter und Ruderalpflanzen. Mitteilungen der Komission zur naturwissenschaftlichen Durchforschung Mährens, Brünn.
- Lososová Z., Chytrý M., Tichý L., Danihelka J., Fajmon K., Hájek O., Kintrová K., Kühn I., Láníková D., Otýpková Z. & Řehořek V. (2012a) Native and alien floras in urban habitats: a comparison across 32 cities of central Europe. – Global Ecology and Biogeography 21: 545–555.
- Lososová Z., Chytrý M., Tichý L., Danihelka J., Fajmon K., Hájek O., Kintrová K., Láníková D., Otýpková Z. & Řehořek V. (2012b) Biotic homogenization of Central European urban floras depends on residence time of alien species and habitat types. – Biological Conservation 145: 179–184.
- Lososová Z., Horsák M., Chytrý M., Čejka T., Danihelka J., Fajmon K., Hájek O., Juřičková L., Kintrová K., Láníková D., Otýpková Z., Řehořek V. & Tichý L. (2011) Diversity of central European urban biota: effects of human-made habitat types on plants and land snails. – Journal of Biogeography 38: 1152–1163.
- Lustyk P. & Doležal J. (eds) (2019) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XVII. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 54: 47–148.
- Lustyk P. & Doležal J. (eds) (2020) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XVIII. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 55: 27–138.
- Lustyk P. & Doležal J. (eds) (2021) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XIX. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 56: 31–176.
- Lustyk P. & Doležal J. (eds) (2022) Additamenta ad floram Reipublicae Bohemicae. XX. Zprávy České botanické společnosti 57: 41–171.
- Makowsky A. (1863a) Verzeichniss der auf dem Spielberge, Franzensberge, auf dem Glacis und den Stadtbastionen beobachteten Gefässpflanzen. Verhandlungen des Naturforschenden Vereines in Brünn 1 (1862): 35–41.
- Makowsky A. (1863b) Die Flora des Brünner Kreises. Verhandlungen des Naturforschenden Vereines in Brünn 1 (1862): 45–210.
- Mandák B., Pyšek P. & Pyšek A. (1993) Distribution pattern of flora and vegetation in a small industrial town: an effect of urban zones. – Preslia 65: 225–242.
- Melicharová M. (2016) Synantropní flóra v okolí Brněnské přehrady [Synanthropic flora in the vicinity of the Brno Reservoir]. Bachelor thesis, Mendel University, Brno.

- Melicharová M. (2019) Synantropní flóra a vegetace severozápadního okraje města Brna [Synanthropic flora and vegetation of the north-western outskirts of the city Brno]. – Master thesis, Mendel University, Brno.
- Musilová K. (2018) Přírodní poměry a květena na území jihovýchodně od obce Veverská Bítýška [Natural conditions and flora of the area southeast of Veverská Bítýška]. – Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Němec R. (ed.) (2021) Rozšíření cévnatých rostlin národních parků Podyjí a Thayatal [Verbreitung der Gefäßpflanzen in den Nationalparks Podyjí und Thayatal]. Správa národního parku Podyjí, Znojmo.
- Oborny A. (1883–1886) Flora von M\u00e4hren und \u00f6sterr. Schlesien. Pars 1–4. Verhandlungen des Naturforschenden Vereines in Br\u00fcnn 21: 1–268, 22: 269–636, 23: 637–888, 24: 889–1285.
- Onandia G., Schittko C., Ryo M., Bernard-Verdier M., Heger T., Joshi J., Kowarik I. & Gessler A. (2019) Ecosystem functioning in urban grasslands: the role of biodiversity, plant invasions and urbanization. – PLoS ONE 14: e0225438.
- Planchuelo G., von der Lippe M. & Kowarik I. (2019) Untangling the role of urban ecosystems as habitats for endangered plant species. – Landscape Urban Planning 189: 320–334.
- Pyšek P. (1995) Approaches to studying spontaneous settlement flora and vegetation in central Europe: a review. – In: Sukkop H., Numata M. & Huber A. (eds), Urban ecology as the basis for urban planning, p. 23–39, SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague.
- Pyšek P. (1998) Alien and native species in Central European urban floras: a quantitative comparison. Journal of Biogeography 25: 155–163.
- Pyšek P. & Chytrý M. (2014) Habitat invasion research: where vegetation science and invasion ecology meet. Journal of Vegetation Science 25: 1181–1187.
- Pyšek P., Jarošík V., Chytrý M., Kropáč Z., Tichý L. & Wild J. (2005) Alien plants in temperate weed communities: prehistoric and recent invaders occupy different habitats. – Ecology 86: 772–785.
- Pyšek P., Kučera T. & Jarošík V. (2002) Plant species richness of nature reserves: the interplay of area, climate and habitat in a central European landscape. – Global Ecology and Biogeography 11: 279–289.
- Pyšek P., Sádlo J., Chrtek J. Jr., Chytrý M., Kaplan Z., Pergl J., Pokorná A., Axmanová I., Čuda J., Doležal J., Dřevojan P., Hejda M., Kočár P., Kortz A., Lososová Z., Lustyk P., Skálová H., Štajerová K., Večeřa M., Vítková M., Wild J. & Danihelka J. (2022) Catalogue of alien plants of the Czech Republic (3rd edition): species richness, status, distributions, habitats, regional invasion levels, introduction pathways and impacts. – Preslia 94: 447–577.
- R Core Team (2023) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, URL: https://www.R-project.org
- Rainer H., Berger A., Schuster T. M., Walter J., Reich D., Zernig K., Danihelka J., Galušková H., Mráz P., Tkach N., Hentschel J., Müller J., Wagner S., Berendsohn W., Lücking R., Vogt R., Pignotti L., Roma-Marzio F. & Peruzzi L. (2023) Community curation of nomenclatural and taxonomic information in the context of the collection management system JACQ. – Biodiversity Information Science and Standards 7: e112571.
- Ramalho C. E. & Hobbs R. J. (2012) Time for a change: dynamic urban ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolutions 27: 179–187.
- Rohrer R. & Mayer A. (1835) Vorarbeiten zu einer Flora des Mährischen Gouvements. Rudolph Rohrer, Brünn.
- Salinitro M., Alessandrini A., Zappi A., Melucci D. & Tassoni A. (2018) Floristic diversity in different urban ecological niches of a Southern European city. – Scientific Reports 8: 1–10.
- Schadek U., Strauss B., Biedermann R. & Kleyer M. (2009) Plant species richness, vegetation structure and soil resources of urban brownfields linked to successional age. – Urban Ecosystems 12: 115–126.
- Shochat E., Lerman S. B., Anderies J. M., Warren P. S., Faeth S. H. & Nilon C. H. (2010) Invasion, competition, and biodiversity loss in urban ecosystems. – Bioscience 60: 199–208.
- Skalický V. (1988) Regionálně fytogeografické členění [Regional phytogeographic division]. In: Hejný S., Slavík B., Chrtek J., Tomšovic P. & Kovanda M. (eds), Květena České socialistické republiky [Flora of the Czech Socialist Republic] 1: 103–121, Academia, Praha.
- Śmiták J. (1992) Chráněná příroda města Brna [Protected nature of the city of Brno]. Rezekvítek, Brno.
- Šmiták J. & Tichý L. (1997) Ohrožené rostliny města Brna. I. díl [Threatened plants of the city of Brno. Part I]. – Rezekvítek, Brno.
- Sobotková V. (1995) Synantropní flora a vegetace and území města Ostravy [Synanthropic flora and vegetation on the territory of the city of Ostrava]. Ostravská univerzita, Ostrava.
- Špryňar P. & Münzbergová Z. (1998) Prodromus pražské květeny [A prodrome of the Prague flora]. Muzeum a současnost, ser. natur. 12: 129–222.

- Staviařová M. (2017) Flóra vybraného území na západním okraji Brna [Flora of a selected area on the western outskirts of the city Brno]. – Master thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Sukopp H., Hejný S. & Kowarik I. (eds) (1990) Urban ecology: plants and plant communities in urban environments. – SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague.
- Šumberová K. & Ducháček M. (2017) Analysis of plant soil seed banks and seed dispersal vectors: its potential and limits for forensic investigations. – Forensic Science International 270: 121–128.
- Svobodová P. (2018) Přírodní poměry a květena Podkomorských lesů [Natural conditions and flora of Podkomorské lesy area]. Bachelor thesis, Masaryk University, Brno.
- Tichý L., Šmiták J. & Řepka R. (1999) Ohrožené rostliny města Brna. II. díl [Threatened plants of the city of Brno. Part II]. – Rezekvítek a Magistrát města Brna, Brno.
- Tichý L., Šmiták J. & Řepka R. (2001) Ohrožené rostliny města Brna. III. díl [Threatened plants of the city of Brno. Part III]. – Rezekvítek a Magistrát města Brna, Brno.
- van Kleunen M., Dawson W., Essl F., Pergl J., Winter M., Weber E., Kreft H., Weigelt P., Kartesz J., Nishino M., Antonova L. A., Barcelona J. F., Cabezas F. J., Cárdenas D., Cárdenas-Toro J., Castaño N., Chacón E., Chatelain C., Ebel A. L., Figueiredo E., Fuentes N., Groom Q. J., Henderson L., Inderjit, Kupriyanov A., Masciadri S., Meerman J., Morozova O., Moser D., Nickrent D. L., Patzelt A., Pelser P. B., Baptiste M. P., Poopath M., Schulze M., Seebens H., Shu W., Thomas J., Velayos M., Wieringa J. J. & Pyšek P. (2015) Global exchange and accumulation of non-native plants. – Nature 525: 100–103.
- van Kleunen M., Essl F., Pergl J., Brundu G., Carboni M., Dullinger S., Early R., González-Moreno P., Groom Q. J., Hulme P. E., Kueffer C., Kühn I., Máguas C., Maurel N., Novoa A., Parepa M., Pyšek P., Seebens H., Tanner R., Touza J., Verbrugge L., Weber E., Dawson W., Kreft H., Weigelt P., Winter M., Klonner G., Talluto M. V. & Dehnen-Schmutz K. (2018) The changing role of ornamental horticulture in alien plant invasions. – Biological Reviews 93: 1421–1437.
- Vicherek J., Antonín V., Danihelka J., Grulich V., Gruna B., Hradílek Z., Řehořek V., Šumberová K., Vampola P. & Vágner A. (2000) Flóra a vegetace na soutoku Moravy a Dyje [Flora and vegetation at the confluence of the Morava and Dyje rivers]. – Masaryk University, Brno.
- von der Lippe M. & Kowarik I. (2007) Long-distance dispersal of plants by vehicles as a driver of plant invasions. – Conservation Biology 21: 986–996.
- Wang H.-F., Qureshi S., Qureshi B. A., Qui J.-X., Friedman C. R., Breuste J. & Wang X.-K. (2016) A multivariate analysis integrating ecological, socioeconomic and physical characteristics to investigate urban forest cover and plant diversity in Beijing, China. – Ecological Indicators 60: 921–929.
- Wania A., Kühn I. & Klotz S. (2006) Plant richness patterns in agricultural and urban landscapes in Central Germany – spatial gradients of species richness. – Landscape and Urban Planning 75: 97–110.
- Wild J., Kaplan Z., Danihelka J., Petřík P., Chytrý M., Novotný P., Rohn M., Šulc V., Brůna J., Chobot K., Ekrt L., Holubová D., Knollová I., Kocián P., Štech M., Štepánek J. & Zouhar V. (2019) Plant distribution data for the Czech Republic integrated in the Pladias database. – Preslia 91: 1–24.
- Wildt A. (1910) Botanisches Excursionsbuch für die Umgebung von Brünn. Carl Winiker, Brünn.
- Wirth T., Kovács D., Sebe K. & Csiky J. (2020) The vascular flora of Pécs and its immediate vicinity (South Hungary) I.: species richness and the distribution of native and alien plants. – Biologia Futura 71: 19–30.
- Wittig R. (1991) Ökologie der Großstadtflora. G. Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Zelený D. & Chytrý M. (2019) Ecological Specialization Indices for species of the Czech flora. Preslia 91: 93–116.

Flóra města Brna

V této studii představujeme výsledky podrobného floristického průzkumu města Brna. V letech 2011-2021 jsme systematicky mapovali spontánní výskyty cévnatých rostlin v Brně (okr. Brno-město), a to v síti mapovacích polí o rozměrech $1,3 \times 1,5$ km (1/64 základního pole středoevropského síťového mapování). Zaznamenali jsme výskyt 1492 taxonů, jejichž rozšíření jsme dokumentovali jednotlivými mapami. Nalezené taxony jsme klasifikovali podle jejich původu, doby zavlečení, invazního statusu, vazby na městské prostředí, indexu specializace a kategorie ohrožení v České republice. Zjistili jsme významné rozdíly v rozšíření jednotlivých skupin rostlin v rámci města a městských biotopů. Brněnská flóra se převážně skládá z druhů původních v ČR (902), následovaných neofyty (339) a archeofyty (205). Podíl původních druhů byl nejmenší v silně urbanizovaných oblastech, zatímco archeofyty a neofyty zde byly častější. Nejběžnější taxony v Brně byly rostliny hojné i jinde v České republice, a to řebříčky Achillea millefolium agg., pampelišky Taraxacum sect. Taraxacum, bez černý (Sambucus nigra) a jitrocele Plantago lanceolata a P. major. Nejběžnější invazní neofyty byly turan roční (Erigeron annuus), trnovník akát (Robinia pseudoacacia) a zlatobýl kanadský (Solidago canadensis). Celkem bylo v Brně nalezeno 255 ohrožených taxonů. Kromě ohrožených rostlin vyskytujících se v chráněných územích se v urbanizovaných biotopech nacházejí např. Ajuga chamaepitys, Chenopodium murale, Filago germanica, Herniaria hirsuta, Hibiscus trionum, Polycnemum arvense a P. majus. Některé neofyty české květeny zatím zdomácněly především v Brně; k nim patří např. Amaranthus deflexus, Artemisia tournefortiana a Geranium sibiricum. Plochy městské zeleně, jakkoliv důležité pro příjemnější pobyt obyvatel města, mají jen omezený význam pro spontánní flóru v důsledku velmi časné a časté seče a převahy okrasných výsadeb. Pro druhovou bohatost městské flóry je důležitá především různorodost městského prostředí v gradientu od urbanizovaných a industriálních oblastí v centru města po zachovalé zbytky lesních a travinobylinných biotopů při jeho okraji.

How to cite: Lososová Z., Danihelka J., Dřevojan P., Hájek O., Kalusová V., Večeřa M., Chytrý K., Chytrý M., Čeplová N., Filippov P., Jiroušek M., Kadaš D., Kalníková V., Knollová I., Macků M., Niederle J., Novák P., Rohel J., Rotreklová O., Řepka R., Řezníčková M., Šmerdová E., Šumberová K., Veselý P., Vymazalová M., Wirth T. & Tichý L. (2024) Flora of the city of Brno, Czech Republic. – Preslia 95: 123–147.

Preslia, a journal of the Czech Botanical Society © Česká botanická společnost / Czech Botanical Society, Praha 2024 www.preslia.cz

This is an open access article published under a CC BY license, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).